First elements of exploration for the GR3D model (in development !)

“Global Repositioning Dynamics for Diadromous fish Distribution”

T. Rougier (thibaud.rougier@irstea.fr), P. Lambert, E. Rochard

Journées Mexico 22/11/12
1. Context
   1.1 Climate change and existing approaches
   1.2 Call for new models
   1.3 Interest for the management policies

2. The GR3D model
   2.1 What it is and what it is not
   2.2 Components of GR3D
   2.3 The key process: the repositioning process

3. The next step: performing a sensitivity analysis!
   → HELP !!!
1. Context

1.1 Climate change and existing approaches

- Beginning of my PhD in October 2010:
  « Processes based approach of the repositioning of diadromous fish under the influence of climate change »

- Environnemental context of Climate Change
  - Threat for species and biodiversity → need to develop approaches to assess the potential impact of climate change

- Scientific context
  - Many studies using empirical and statistical models have forecasted the possible impact of climate change on species distribution for many taxa such as plants (Midgley et al., 2002; Thuiller, 2003, Zimmermann et al., 2009), reptiles and amphibians (Segurado et Araujo, 2004; Araujo et al., 2006), birds (Huntley et al., 2008), mammals (Thuiller et al., 2006), insects (Helkkinen et al., 2007, Barrows et al., 2008), fish (Buisson, 2009) and diadromous fish (Lassalle, 2008)
1. Context

1.2 Call for new models

But…

Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple habitat models
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Abstract

In the last two decades, interest in species distribution models (SDMs) of plants and animals has grown dramatically. Recent advances in SDMs allow us to not only

Beyond bioclimatic envelopes: dynamic species’ range and abundance modelling in the context of climatic change
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1.2 Call for new models

There is a call for a next generation of « fully integrated » dynamic models (Huntley et al. 2010) those could combine:

- Population dynamics
- Dispersal

mechanistically modelled in its 3 phases: emigration, transition & settlement

Links to species’ traits

Climatic suitability

Landscape structure

Species persistence & spatial distribution through time
1.3 Interest for management policies

Climate & Land use scenarios

- Climatic suitability
- Landscape structure

Test the effectiveness of alternative management strategies

Identify obstacles to persistence/range shift

Population dynamics

- Dispersal
  
  *mechanistically modelled in its 3 phases: emigration, transition & settlement*

Species persistence & spatial distribution through time

Gain a better understanding of species spatial dynamics and processes

Identify data gaps and guide further efforts in data collection
... but also many CHALLENGES!!

- Details vs Generalisation
- What type of movement / dispersal model?
- Variability between individuals, populations & across the species’ range
- Evolutionary response to environmental changes
- Spatio– temporal scales
- Data availability
2. The GR3D model

2.1 What it is

- Under DEVELOPMENT

- Individual-based, spatially explicit and stochastic model

- Use of the SimAquaLife framework (Dumoulin, 2007)

- Designed to potentially work on several species (including virtual species) and switch easily from a species to another → exploitation of a life history traits database of European diadromous fish (TraitDiad 1.0)
  - First application with a shad-like species

- a virtual environment… Not definitive but at least in the first exploration phase…
2. The GR3D model

2.2 Components of GR3D – Biological processes

In each biological process, the key inputs are life history traits.

*For example:*
- size at maturity
- age of first reproduction
- reproduction season
- duration of the marine phase
- duration of the continental phase
- ...

→ If we have the information for each of the 29 diadromous species present at the European scale, it will be relatively easy to switch from a virtual species to another...

A data base is in construction...
2. The GR3D model

2.1 The environment

A virtual environment with

- An offshore basin
- Sea basins
- River basins

The Offshore basin:
- Temperature

Each River basin:
- Position
- Temperature
- Surface
- % available
- Height of first dam

Each Sea basin:
- Position
- Temperature
- Associated river basin

We introduce a linear warming (we just need to define the intensity of the warming during one century)
2. The GR3D model

2.1 What it is

- Under DEVELOPMENT
- Individual-based, spatially explicit and stochastic model
- Use of the SimAquaLife framework (Dumoulin, 2007)
- Designed to potentially work on several species (including virtual species) and switch easily from a species to another to exploit a life history traits database of European diadromous fish
- A virtual environment... Not definitive but at least in the first exploration phase...
- Aims to integrate population dynamics, repositioning behaviours and habitat/climatic requirements to investigate the diadromous fish-like responses to environmental changes
  - Which factors influence the repositioning?
2. The GR3D model

2.1 What it is NOT

- GR3D does not incorporate evolutionary (genetic) response of individuals to climate change. We consider only a phenotypic plasticity of individuals.
  - Very few elements in literature about evolutionary response of diadromous fish...

- Precipitations are not included in GR3D
  - Models linking Climate Change to river discharge do not exist everywhere
  - River flows are very sensitive to agriculture (irrigation), dams (mill pond)... link between Global Change and river discharge is also a challenge
  - How do the flow play on every species ?... Link between flow and biological processes.

- GR3D is not a pluri-specific model
2. The GR3D model

2.2 Components of GR3D – Growth process

- **Growth process** (Fabens, 1965; Mallet et al., 1999; Dion & Hughes, 2004; Bal et al., 2011)

- Von Bertalanffy growth function with a seasonal variability of the growth increment

\[
\Delta L = \log N(\mu_{\Delta L}, \sigma_{\Delta L})
\]

\[
\mu_{\Delta L} = \log\left((L_\infty - L_t) \times (1 - \exp^{-\kappa t})\right) - \frac{\sigma_{\Delta L}^2}{2}
\]

- A growth rate parameter linked to the temperature

\[\kappa = \kappa_{opt} \frac{(T - T_{min})(T - T_{max})}{(T - T_{min})(T - T_{max}) - (T - T_{opt})^2}\]

**Parameters of the growth process:**

- \(T_{min}, T_{max}, T_{opt}\)
- \(L_{ini}, L_{inf}\)
- \(K_{opt}\) (the same in river, sea and offshore)
- \(\sigma_{\Delta L}\)
2. The GR3D model

2.2 Components of GR3D – Reproduction process

Reproduction process modelled with a stock recruitment relationship (Beverton & Holt, 1957; Myers et al., 1995; Lierman & Hilborn 1997)

- A stock recruitment relationship follows the evolution of the initial recruitment \( r_0 \) produced by a spawner stock \( S \) until a given stage of development \( R \)

- We assumed that the initial recruitment \( r_0 \) is directly proportional to the spawner stock level according to the fecundity of the species (parameter \( a \)):

- Then we assumed 2 kinds of mortality of the initial recruitment:
  - a non density dependant mortality with temperature (parameter \( b \))
  - a density dependant mortality according to the surface of the reproduction basin as it is a proxy of the available resources (parameter \( c \))

\[
\begin{align*}
S \quad r_0 = aS \\
\frac{dr}{dt} = -(b + cr)r \\
R
\end{align*}
\]
2. The GR3D model
2.2 Components of GR3D – Reproduction process

Equation of the reproduction process:

\[ R = \frac{b e^{-b \Delta t}}{c(1 - e^{-b \Delta t})} S \left( \frac{b}{ac(1 - e^{-b \Delta t})} + S \right) \]

with \( b = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln \left( \frac{(T - T_{\min})(T - T_{\max})}{(T - T_{\min})(T - T_{\max}) - (T - T_{opt})^2} \right) \)

and \( c = \frac{\lambda}{surf_{acc}} \)

Calibration:
- For shad like species, we use data about allis shad population dynamics in the Gironde basin (Rougier et al., 2012)
- For the other species, we will probably use assumptions

Parameters of the reproduction process:
- Reproduction Season
- \( T_{\min}, T_{\max}, T_{opt} \) for reproduction process
- number of female eggs per kg of female to compute \( a \)
- Delay between eggs and recruitment (\( \Delta t \)), optimal larvae survival rate (\( surv_{opt} \)), \( T_{\min}, T_{\max}, T_{opt} \) to compute \( b \)
- \( \lambda \) to compute \( c \)
2. The GR3D model
2.2 Components of GR3D – Biological processes

Other simple processes
- Age process
- Survival process with survival equation
- Migration processes: in sea, in offshore and in river

Parameters of the other processes:
- Optimal Survival rate (the same in River, Sea and offshore)
- $T_{\text{min}}, T_{\text{max}}, T_{\text{opt}}$ for survival (the same in River, Sea and offshore)
- Migration Seasons
- Age at migration, $L_{\text{mat}}$, Homing rate

And the key process of GR3D:

THE REPOSITIONNING PROCCESS
What do we mean by repositioning of diadromous fish?

The process by which the species will maybe explore new environment and change its distribution area (~ dispersal...)

- For anadromous:
  - The choice of the reproduction basin (Homing vs. Straying)
- For Catadromous
  - The choice of the ”feeding” basin

For each species, it is about the choice of a basin... It is the modelling challenge! Because...

- We know nothing...
- Nothing relevant in literature...
2. The GR3D model
2.3 The repositioning process

- How do we model it?
  - We designed a process allowing the test of different scenarios

- The choice of a basin might depend on:
  - The size of the fish (swim capacity \( \rightarrow \) migration distance max)
  - The distance between the basin and the birth place of the fish
  - The surface of the basin (proxy of the flow)
  - The density of fish in the basin
  - ...

- We can test a multitude of scenarios
2. The GR3D model
2.3 The repositioning process

Equation of the repositioning process

\[
\logit \left( w_{jBirth-j} \right) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D_{jBirth-j} + \alpha_2 LT_i + \alpha_3 S_j
\]

\[
P_j^i = \frac{W_{jBirth-j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{jBirth-j}}
\]

Number of repositioning scenario:
- \(2^3 = 8\) scenarios

\(\alpha_1, \alpha_2\) and \(\alpha_3 \neq 0\)
What is the interest to test different scenarios?

- Each repositioning scenario can be relevant for one or more species.
- Each repositioning scenario is in fact associated with life history traits.
- We may identify the best repositioning scenarios and so the species with the most effective repositioning.
3. The next step: performing a global sensitivity analysis

3.1 Definition of input factors (and their modalities)

- Climate change:
  - warming’ intensity

- Population dynamics

  - Reproduction:
    - $a$, $b$, $c$, $T_{\text{min}}$, $T_{\text{opt}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$

  - Growth:
    - Growth rate, $L_{\text{inf}}$, $T_{\text{min}}$, $T_{\text{opt}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$

  - Survive:
    - Mortality rate Sea, River, offshore, $T_{\text{min}}$, $T_{\text{opt}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$

  - Migrations:
    - Ages at migration, $L_{\text{mat}}$

  - Homing
    - Homing rate

- Repositioning process:
  - 8 different scenarios

  About 20-30 parameters and 8 repositioning scenarios...
3. The next step: performing a global sensitivity analysis
3.2 Choice of response variables to be considered

- Questions:
  - Which repositioning scenario give the best results in terms of species persistence and repositioning efficiency?
  - Which influence of the climate change on species persistence?
  - Is there some species traits which have a great effect on the simulation results?
3. The next step: performing a global sensitivity analysis

3.2 Choice of response variables to be considered

- Output candidates to estimate species persistence and repositioning efficiency
  - Abundance
    - Total number of individuals
  - Distribution area
    - Number of basins with a population (i.e. with reproduction)
  - Distribution area modifications
    - Localization of the most northern basin
    - Localization of the most southern basin
    - Distance between the most northern and southern basins
    - Number of basins with extinction between the start and the end of the simulation
    - Number of basins with colonization between the start and the end of the simulation
3. The next step: performing a sensitivity analysis
3.3 Simulation design and statistical model to applied ???

- Actually, we start thinking about this step…

- Need to determine the modalities of the input factor

- Group screening and pls ? (Drouineau et al., 2006; Lehuta et al., 2010)
Perspectives

Other questions:

- Change the environment… A real landscape based on CCM ?!

- Work with a grey mullet-like (case of a catadromous species)
Thanks for your attention !....

Questions ?
A stock recruitment relationship follows the evolution of the initial recruitment ($r_0$) produced by a spawner stock ($S$) until a certain stage of development ($R$)

We assumed that the initial recruitment ($r_0$) is directly proportional to the spawner stock level according to the fecundity of the species ($a$): 

$$ r_0 = aS $$

We assumed that the mortality of the initial recruitment ($r_0$) depends on the temperature through a parameter $b$, and on the density of the recruitment (intraspecific competition) through a parameter $c$ directly linked to $r$. So, the variation of the initial recruitment is

$$ \frac{dr}{dt} = -(b + cr) r $$

The solution integrating between $t = 0$ where $r = r_0 = aS$, and $t = \Delta t$ where $r = R$ is

$$ R = \frac{b e^{-b\Delta t}}{c \left( 1 - e^{-b\Delta t} \right)} S $$

$$ R = \frac{b}{ac \left( 1 - e^{-b\Delta t} \right) + S} $$
2. Le modèle G3D
2.3 les processus de G3D (Process overview and scheduling in Grimm et al., 2006)

- le processus biologique de reproduction

Assuming that

\[
\alpha = \frac{b e^{-b\Delta t}}{c \left(1 - e^{-b\Delta t}\right)} \quad \beta = \frac{b}{ac \left(1 - e^{-b\Delta t}\right)}
\]

we recognize the formulation of the Beverton & Holt SR relationship proposed by Lierman and Hilborn (1997)

\[
R = \frac{\alpha S}{\beta + S}
\]
2. Le modèle G3D
2.3 les processus de G3D (Process overview and scheduling in Grimm et al., 2006)

- Quelques courbes SR

Topt mais surfaces accessibles différentes

Surfaces accessibles Max mais T°C différentes
2. Le modèle G3D
2.3 La carté récapitulative du modèle actuel